

MINIMAL EQUATIONS AND VALUES OF GENERALIZED LAMBDA FUNCTIONS

NOBURO ISHII

ABSTRACT. In our preceding article, we defined a generalized lambda function $\Lambda(\tau)$ and showed that $\Lambda(\tau)$ and the modular invariant function $j(\tau)$ generate the modular function field with respect to a principal congruence subgroup. In this article we shall study a minimal equation and values of $\Lambda(\tau)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we fix a positive integer N and unless otherwise mentioned use the notation $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/N)$ and $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau/N)$, where τ is a variable on the complex upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}$. Let $\Gamma(N)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of level N , thus,

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a-1 \equiv b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

In particular, $\Gamma(1) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $A(N)$ the modular function field consisted of all modular functions with respect to $\Gamma(N)$ having q -expansions with coefficients in $K_N = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. For $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$, let $\wp(z; L_\tau)$ be the Weierstrass $\wp$ -function relative to the lattice L_τ generated by 1 and τ . Let $N > 1$. For an element of (r, s) of the group $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\varphi_\tau((r, s))$ the element of the group $\mathbb{C}/L_\tau$ congruent to $(r\tau + s)/N \pmod{L_\tau}$. In [4], for a basis $\{Q_1, Q_2\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, we defined a generalized lambda function by

$$\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2) = \frac{\wp(\varphi_\tau(Q_1); L_\tau) - \wp(\varphi_\tau(Q_1 + Q_2); L_\tau)}{\wp(\varphi_\tau(Q_2); L_\tau) - \wp(\varphi_\tau(Q_1 + Q_2); L_\tau)}$$

and showed that $\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2)$ and the modular invariant function $j(\tau)$ generate $A(N)$ over K_N if $N \neq 1, 6$. For $N = 2$, the function $\lambda = \Lambda(\tau; (0, 1), (1, 0))$ is known classically as the elliptic modular lambda function. It is proved in [5] 18.6 that

$$j = 2^8 \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2(\lambda - 1)^2},$$

which gives a minimal equation of λ over $\mathbb{Q}(j)$;

$$x^6 - 3x^5 + (6 - j/256)x^4 + (-7 + j/128)x^3 + (6 - j/256)x^2 - 3x + 1 = 0.$$

This equation has a symmetric property concerning the coefficients, which means that the coefficient of x^i is equal to that of x^{6-i} for $i = 0, \dots, 3$.

The purpose of this article is to study a minimal equation over $K_N(j)$ and values at imaginary quadratic integers for $\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2)$. In the course we obtain a similar symmetric property for coefficients of the minimal equation. In the last section, in the cases that $N = 3, 4$, we give equations among $\Lambda(\tau) = \Lambda(\tau; (1, 0), (0, 1))$, j , λ and the eta quotient $\eta(\tau)/\eta(N\tau)$, and compute the values of $\Lambda(\tau)$ at imaginary quadratic integers α such that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ are maximal orders of class number one.

The following notation will be used throughout this article. The field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and its maximal order $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ are denoted by K_N and O_N respectively. For a function $f(\tau)$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$, $f[A]_2$ and $f \circ A$ represent

$$f[A]_2 = f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right)(c\tau + d)^{-2} \text{ and } f \circ A = f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right).$$

The greatest common divisor of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is denoted by $GCD(a, b)$. For an integer ℓ prime to N , the automorphism σ_ℓ of K_N is defined by $\zeta^{\sigma_\ell} = \zeta^\ell$. For an automorphism σ_ℓ and a power series $f = \sum_m a_m q^m$ with $a_m \in K_N$, f^{σ_ℓ} denotes a power series $\sum_m a_m^{\sigma_\ell} q^m$.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section, we summarize the results in [4] needed below. For the proof, see [4] and further [3]. Let $N > 2$. For an integer x , let $\{x\}$ and $\mu(x)$ be the integers defined by the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \{x\} \leq \frac{N}{2}, \quad \mu(x) = \pm 1, \\ \begin{cases} \mu(x) = 1 & \text{if } x \equiv 0, N/2 \pmod{N}, \\ x \equiv \mu(x)\{x\} \pmod{N} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For a pair of integers (r, s) such that $(r, s) \not\equiv (0, 0) \pmod{N}$, consider a modular form of weight 2 with respect to $\Gamma(N)$

$$E(\tau; r, s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \wp((r\tau + s)/N; L_\tau) - 1/12.$$

Proposition 2.1. *The form $E(\tau; r, s)$ has the following properties.*

- (i) $E(\tau; r + aN, s + bN) = E(\tau; r, s)$ for any integers a and b ,
 $E(\tau; r, s) = E(\tau; -r, -s)$.
- (ii) $E(\tau; r, s)[A]_2 = E(\tau; ar + cs, br + ds)$ for $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$.
- (iii)

$$E(\tau; r, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega}{(1-\omega)^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(\omega^n + \omega^{-n} - 2)q^{mnN} & \text{if } \{r\} = 0, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nu^n + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(u^n + u^{-n} - 2)q^{mnN} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\omega = \zeta^{\mu(r)s}$ and $u = \omega q^{\{r\}}$.

(iv) $E(\tau; r, s)^{\sigma_\ell} = E(\tau; r, s_\ell)$ for any integer ℓ prime to N .

Proposition 2.2. *Let (r_1, s_1) and (r_2, s_2) be pairs of integers such that $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2) \not\equiv (0, 0) \pmod{N}$ and $(r_1, s_1) \not\equiv \pm(r_2, s_2) \pmod{N}$. Put $\omega_i = \zeta^{\mu(r_i)s_i}$. Assume that $\{r_1\} \leq \{r_2\}$. Then*

$$E(\tau; r_1, s_1) - E(\tau; r_2, s_2) = \theta q^{\{r_1\}}(1 + qh(q)),$$

where $h(q) \in O_N[[q]]$ and θ is a non-zero element of K_N defined as follows. In the case of $\{r_1\} = \{r_2\}$,

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \omega_1 - \omega_2 & \text{if } \{r_1\} \neq 0, N/2, \\ -\frac{(\omega_1 - \omega_2)(1 - \omega_1\omega_2)}{\omega_1\omega_2} & \text{if } \{r_1\} = N/2, \\ \frac{(\omega_1 - \omega_2)(1 - \omega_1\omega_2)}{(1 - \omega_1)^2(1 - \omega_2)^2} & \text{if } \{r_1\} = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the case of $\{r_1\} < \{r_2\}$,

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \omega_1 & \text{if } \{r_1\} \neq 0, \\ \frac{\omega_1}{(1 - \omega_1)^2} & \text{if } \{r_1\} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Further $E(\tau; r_1, s_1) - E(\tau; r_2, s_2)$ has neither zeros nor poles on $\mathfrak{H}$.

If $Q_i = (r_i, s_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$), then by the definition

$$\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2) = \frac{E(\tau; r_1, s_1) - E(\tau; r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2)}{E(\tau; r_2, s_2) - E(\tau; r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2)}.$$

Let denote $\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} r_1 & s_1 \\ r_2 & s_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. In what follows, for an integer k prime to N (considered modulo N), the function $\Lambda(\tau; (1, 0), (0, k))$ is denoted by $\Lambda_k(\tau)$ to simplify the notation. Furthermore $\Lambda_1(\tau)$ is denoted by $\Lambda(\tau)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{Q_1, Q_2\}$ be a basis of the group $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.*

- (i) $\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2)$ has zeros and poles only at cusps of $\Gamma(N)$ and has a q -expansion with coefficients in K_N .
- (ii) $(1 - \zeta)^3 \Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2)$ is integral over $O_N[j]$. Especially $\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2)$ is a unit of the integral closure of $O_{N, 1-\zeta}[j]$ in $A(N)$, where $O_{N, 1-\zeta}$ is the localization of O_N with respect to the multiplicative set $\{(1 - \zeta)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0\}$. Further if N is not a prime power, then $\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2)$ is a unit of the integral closure of $O_N[j]$ in $A(N)$.
- (iii) If $N \neq 6$, then $A(N) = K_N(j, \Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2))$.
- (iv) Let $k = \det \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $A \in \Gamma(1)$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} (A \pmod{N})$. Then

$$\Lambda(\tau; Q_1, Q_2) = \Lambda_k \circ A.$$

(v) Let k be an integer prime to N and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$. Then

$$(\Lambda \circ A)^{\sigma_k} = \Lambda_k \circ A_k,$$

where $A_k \in \Gamma(1)$ such that $A_k \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & bk \\ ck^{-1} & d \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$.

Proof. The assertions except the latter part of (ii) are proved in [4]. The latter part of (ii) is deduced from the facts that $1/\Lambda(\tau, Q_1, Q_2) = \Lambda(\tau, Q_2, Q_1)$ and $(1 - \zeta)$ is a unit of $O_{N, 1-\zeta}$, and that $(1 - \zeta)$ is a unit of O_N if N is not a prime power. $\square$

3. MINIMAL EQUATION OF Λ OVER $K_N(j)$

Let us consider a polynomial of X with coefficients in $A(1)$;

$$\mathfrak{F}(X) = \prod_{A \in \mathfrak{R}} (X - \Lambda \circ A),$$

where $\mathfrak{R}$ is a transversal of the coset decomposition of $\Gamma(1)$ by $\pm\Gamma(N)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $N > 2$ and $N \neq 6$.*

- (i) $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ is a polynomial in $K_N[j][X]$. The degree of $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ is equal to $d_N = \frac{N^3}{2} \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-2})$, where p runs over all prime divisors of N .
- (ii) Let $\{Q_1, Q_2\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and $k = \det \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}(X)^{\sigma_k}$ is a minimal equation of $\Lambda(\tau, Q_1, Q_2)$ over $K_N(j)$.

Proof. For (i), see the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [4]. The degree d_N is obviously equal to the index $[\Gamma(1) : \pm\Gamma(N)] = \frac{N^3}{2} \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-2})$. See 1.6 of [9]. Since $N \neq 6$, Theorem 2.3 (iii) implies that $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ is a minimal equation of $\Lambda \circ A$ over $K_N(j)$ for any $A \in \Gamma(1)$. Therefore Theorem 2.3 (iv) and (v) show that the equation $\mathfrak{F}^{\sigma_k}(X) = 0$ is a minimal equation of $\Lambda(\tau, Q_1, Q_2)$. $\square$

The transversal $\mathfrak{R}$ is adopted as follows. By [7], inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma(N)$ correspond bijectively to elements of the set Σ of pairs of integers (a, c) , where $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $\text{GCD}(a, c, N) = 1$ and (a, c) and $(-a, -c)$ are identified. We decompose Σ into two disjoint subsets Σ_1 and Σ_2 where $\Sigma_1 = \{(a, c), (c, a) \mid a \not\equiv \pm c \pmod{N}\}$ and $\Sigma_2 = \{(a, c) \mid a \equiv \pm c \pmod{N}\}$. For each element $(a, c) \in \Sigma$, we take and fix a matrix $A \in \Gamma(1)$ corresponding to (a, c) so that $A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ c & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$. If $(a, c) \in \Sigma_1$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ is the corresponding matrix to (a, c) , we choose $A' = \begin{pmatrix} z & -w \\ x & -y \end{pmatrix}$ as a matrix corresponding to (c, a) . Denoting by $\mathfrak{S}$ the set of all corresponding matrices, with $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{AT^i \mid A \in \mathfrak{S}, i \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Further, let $\mathfrak{S}_1$ be the set consisted of all pairs $\{A, A'\}$ corresponding to the pairs $\{(a, c), (c, a)\}$ in Σ_1 and $\mathfrak{S}_2$ the set consisted of all matrices corresponding to the elements of Σ_2 .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\nu(A)$ be the order of the q -expansion of $\Lambda \circ A$ for $A \in \Gamma(1)$.*

(i) If $A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ c & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$, then

$$\nu(A) = \min(\{a\}, \{a+c\}) - \min(\{c\}, \{a+c\}).$$

(ii) Let $\{A, A'\} \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then $\nu(A) = \nu(AT^i) = -\nu(A'T^i)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}_2$. Then $\nu(AT^i) = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $A \in \Gamma(1)$ and assume that $A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$. Since by Proposition 2.1 (ii)

$$\Lambda \circ A = \frac{E(\tau; a, b) - E(\tau, a+c, b+d)}{E(\tau; c, d) - E(\tau, a+c, b+d)},$$

Proposition 2.2 implies (i). Since right multiplication of a matrix with T^i does not change the first column, (ii) and (iii) are immediate results of (i). $\square$

For pairs of integers (r_1, s_1) and (r_2, s_2) such that $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2) \not\equiv (0, 0)$ and $(r_1, s_1) \not\equiv \pm(r_2, s_2) \pmod{N}$, we define

$$W(\tau; r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2) = \frac{E(\tau; r_1, s_1) - E(\tau; r_2, s_2)}{E(\tau; r_1, -s_1) - E(\tau; r_2, -s_2)}.$$

The next lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 3.4 and is deduced immediately from Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that $\{r_2\} \geq \{r_1\}$. Then the leading coefficient c of the q -expansion of $W(\tau; r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2)$ is given as follows:*

$$c = \begin{cases} -\zeta^{\mu(r_1)s_1 + \mu(r_2)s_2} & \text{if } \{r_2\} = \{r_1\} \neq 0, N/2, \\ \zeta^{2\mu(r_1)s_1} & \text{if } \{r_2\} > \{r_1\} \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $F(X, Y)$ be the polynomial such that $F(X, j) = \mathfrak{F}(X)$. Let us write $F(X, Y)$ in a polynomial of $K_N[Y][X]$:

$$F(X, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_N} P_i(Y) X^{d_N-i}.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let $N > 2, \neq 6$.*

- (i) $(1 - \zeta)^{3i} P_i(Y) \in O_N[Y]$.
- (ii) $P_{d_N-i}(Y) = \overline{P_i(Y)}$ for all i , where $\overline{P_i(Y)}$ is the complex conjugation of $P_i(Y)$.
- (iii) $P_i(Y)$ has degree smaller than $i/2$. In particular $P_1(Y)$ and $P_2(Y)$ are constants.
- (iv) Let t_N be the number of inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma(N)$ where Λ has poles. Then $\deg P_i(Y) \leq \deg P_{N t_N}(Y) = [A(N) : K_N(\Lambda)]$ for any i .

Proof. First, we shall prove that $P_{d_N}(Y) = 1$. Proposition 3.2 implies that $P_{d_N}(Y)$ has no poles. Therefore, $P_{d_N}(Y)$ is a constant and equals to the

product of leading coefficients of q -expansions of $\Lambda \circ A$ ($A \in \mathfrak{R}$), since d_N is even. Let us consider a partial product;

$$\prod_{(A,A') \in \mathfrak{S}_1} \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \Lambda \circ (AT^i) \Lambda \circ (A'T^{-i}).$$

Let $(A, A') \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. If $A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \circ (AT^i) \cdot \Lambda \circ (A'T^{-i}) &= W(\tau; a, ia + b, a + c, i(a + c) + b + d) \\ &\quad \times W(\tau; c, -(ic + d), a + c, -(i(a + c) + b + d)). \end{aligned}$$

Let δ and δ' be the leading coefficient of $\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} W(\tau; a, ia + b, a + c, i(a + c) + b + d)$ and $\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} W(\tau; c, -(ic + d), a + c, -(i(a + c) + b + d))$ respectively. Let $\{a\} = \{a + c\} \neq 0, N/2$. Then by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= (-1)^N \zeta^{\mu(a)\Sigma_i(ai+b)+\mu(a+c)\Sigma_i(a+c)i+b+d} = (-1)^N \zeta^{\Sigma_i(\mu(a)a+\mu(a+c)(a+c))i} \\ &= (-1)^N \zeta^{(\{a\}+\{a+c\})N(N-1)/2} = (-1)^N. \end{aligned}$$

In other cases, we have easily that $\delta = 1$. Similarly, $\delta' = (-1)^N$ if $\{c\} = \{a + c\}$ and $\delta' = 1$ otherwise. Since $\{a\} = \{a + c\} = \{c\}$ does not hold, the number of A with $\{a\} = \{a + c\}$ or $\{c\} = \{a + c\}$ is equal to $2\varphi(N)$, where $\varphi(x)$ is Euler totient function. Therefore the leading coefficient of the partial product is 1. If $A \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, then $c \equiv a, -a \pmod{N}$. Therefore $\{a\} \neq 0, N/2$ and $\{a + c\} = 0$ or $\{2a\}$, and if $N \neq 3$, then $\{a\} \neq \{a + c\}$. Proposition 2.2 gives immediately that the leading coefficient of $\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \Lambda \circ (AT^i)$ is 1. Hence $P_{d_N}(Y) = 1$. For $N = 3$, a direct calculation in §6 (I) gives that $P_{d_3}(Y) = 1$. Next we shall prove the assertion (ii). By Theorem 2.3, $\Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda_{-1} \circ S$, where $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, $X^{d_N} F(1/X, j) = 0$ is a minimal equation of Λ_{-1} . Further by Theorem 2.3 (v), since $\Lambda_{-1} = \Lambda^{\sigma^{-1}}$, $F(X, j)^{\sigma^{-1}} = 0$ is a minimal equation of Λ_{-1} . This shows that $X^{d_N} F(1/X, j) = \overline{F(X, j)}$, and $P_{d_N-i}(Y) = \overline{P_i(Y)}$ for all i . The assertion (iii) is deduced from the fact that $\nu(A) > -N/2$ for all $A \in \Gamma(1)$ and the q -expansion of j has order $-N$. The assertion (i) is a consequence of Theorem 2.3 (ii). For (iv), see Theorem 4.1 (i) and (ii) in the next section. $\square$

4. MINIMAL EQUATION OF j OVER $K_N(\Lambda)$

In this section, we shall study the polynomial $F(X, Y)$ of Y with coefficients in $K_N[X]$. Because the following result can be proved by the almost same argument in section 3 of [2], we outline the proof. Let $X(N)$ be the modular curve associated with $\Gamma(N)$ defined over K_N .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $N > 2, \neq 6$. Put*

$$F(X, Y) = Q_0(X)Y^{\ell_N} + Q_1(X)Y^{\ell_N-1} + \cdots + Q_{\ell_N}(X).$$

- (i) $F(\Lambda, Y)$ is a minimal equation of j over $K_N(\Lambda)$. The degree ℓ_N of $F(X, Y)$ with respect to Y is equal to $[A(N) : K_N(\Lambda)]$.

- (ii) Let t_N be the number of cusps of $X(N)$ where Λ has poles. Let $\alpha_k (k = 1, \dots, d_N/N - 2t_N)$ be cusps of $X(N)$ where Λ has neither poles nor zeros. Then $\Lambda(\alpha_k) \in K_N$ and $Q_0(X) = c(X^{t_N} \prod_k (X - \Lambda(\alpha_k)))^N$ for a non-zero constant $c \in K_N$.
- (iii) $Q_{\ell_N}(X) = F(X, 0) = c_1 H_1(X)^3$, where $H_1(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{d_N/3} (X - \Lambda(\rho_k))$ and ρ_k are points on $X(N)$ lying over $\rho = (1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$, and c_1 is a non-zero constant. Further $H_1(0) \neq 0$.
- (iv) $F(X, 1728) = c_2 H_2(X)^2$, where $H_2(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{d_N/2} (X - \Lambda(\tau_i))$ and τ_k are points on $X(N)$ lying over i , and c_2 is a non-zero constant. Further $H_2(0) \neq 0$.
- (v) For any complex number $c \neq 0, 1728$, $F(X, c) = 0$ has no multiple roots.

Proof. For (i), see the argument in the latter part of Lemma 3 of [2]. The ramification points of $X(N)/X(1)$ are $i\infty, \rho = (1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and the ramification index of these points are $N, 3$ and 2 respectively. See 1.6 of [9]. We know that $j(\rho) = 0, j(i) = 1728$. We note that zero points of Λ on $X(N)$ are only cusps and the number of zero points of Λ on $X(N)$ is t_N by Proposition 3.2. The remaining assertions are obtained from these facts by using the argument in section 3 of [2]. $\square$

The integers ℓ_N and t_N are expressed in the following sums defined for a positive integer M , a positive divisor L of M and a non-negative integer k ;

$$(4.1) \quad I_k(L, M) = \sum_t t^k \text{ and } J_k(L, M) = \sum_u u^k,$$

where t runs over all integers such that $0 < t < M/2, \text{GCD}(t, L) = 1$ and u runs over integers such that $0 < u < M/2, \text{GCD}(u, L) = 1$ and $u \equiv -M \pmod{3}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $N > 2, \neq 6$. Then*

$$\ell_N = I_1(N, N) + 2 \sum_{0 < a < N/3} I_1(\text{GCD}(a, N), N - 3a) + \delta_1(N),$$

$$t_N = I_0(N, N) + 2 \sum_{0 < a < N/3} I_0(\text{GCD}(a, N), N - 3a) + \delta_0(N),$$

where a runs over all positive integers smaller than $N/3$ and

$$\delta_1(N) = \begin{cases} 3(I_1(N/3, N/3) - J_1(N/3, N/3)) & \text{if } N \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ J_1(N, N) & \text{if } N \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_0(N) = \begin{cases} I_0(N/3, N/3) - J_0(N/3, N/3) & \text{if } N \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ J_0(N, N) & \text{if } N \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (i) of Theorem 4.1 ,

$$\ell_N = [A(N) : K_N(\Lambda)] = - \sum_{\nu(A) < 0, A \in \mathfrak{S}} \nu(A).$$

Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}$ correspond to $(a, c) \in \Sigma$ with $\nu(A) < 0$. Then $\nu(A) = \{a\} - \min(\{c\}, \{a + c\}) < 0$. Assuming that $0 \leq a < N/2$ and $-N/2 < c \leq N/2$, we shall determine $(a, c) \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition:

$$(4.2) \quad a < \min(\{c\}, \{a + c\}).$$

For each a , to determine c , put $k = \{c\} - a$. Since $a < \{c\} \leq N/2$, $0 < k \leq (N - 2a)/2$. If $a = 0$, then

$$(4.3) \quad 0 < k < N/2, \text{ } GCD(k, N) = 1, \text{ } \nu(A) = -k.$$

Let $a > 0$. If $-N/2 < c < 0$, then $c = -(a + k)$. Since $\{a + c\} = k < a + k = \{c\} < N/2$, by (4.2) $a < k < (N - 2a)/2$ and $\nu(A) = a - k$. By substituting t for $k - a$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad 0 < t < \frac{N - 4a}{2}, \text{ } GCD(a, t, N) = 1, \text{ } \nu(A) = -t.$$

Let $0 \leq c \leq N/2$. Then $c = a + k$. If $k \leq (N - 3a)/2$, then $\{c\} \leq \{a + c\}$ and $\nu(A) = a - \{c\} = -k$. If $k > (N - 3a)/2$, then $\{c\} > \{a + c\}$. Therefore, by (4.2), $k < N - 3a$ and $\nu(A) = a - \{a + c\} = (3a + k) - N$. Putting them together,

$$(4.5) \quad 0 < k \leq \frac{N - 3a}{2}, \text{ } GCD(a, k, N) = 1, \text{ } \nu(A) = -k,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{N - 3a}{2} < k \leq \min(N - 3a - 1, \frac{N - 2a}{2}), GCD(a, k, N) = 1, \\ \nu(A) = 3a + k - N. \end{cases}$$

In (4.6), by substituting t for $N - 3a - k$,

$$(4.7) \quad \max(1, \frac{N - 4a}{2}) \leq t < \frac{N - 3a}{2}, \text{ } GCD(a, t, N) = 1, \text{ } \nu(A) = -t.$$

Therefore bringing together (4.4) and (4.7),

$$(4.8) \quad 0 < t < \frac{N - 3a}{2}, \text{ } GCD(a, t, N) = 1, \text{ } \nu(A) = -t.$$

Hence by (4.3), (4.5), (4.8),

$$\ell_N = I_1(N, N) + 2 \sum_{0 < a < N/3} I_1(GCD(a, N), N - 3a) + \delta_1(N),$$

where $\delta_1(N) = \sum_a (N - 3a)/2$, and a runs over all integers such that $0 < a < N/3$, $a \equiv N \pmod{2}$ and $GCD(a, (N - a)/2) = 1$. Let $t = (N - 3a)/2$. If $N \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\delta(N) = \sum_t t$, where $0 < t < N/2$, $GCD(t, N) = 1$, $t \equiv -N \pmod{3}$. Therefore $\delta(N) = J_1(N, N)$. If $N \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, putting $t = 3s$, then $\delta(N) = 3 \sum_s s$, where $0 < s < N/6$, $GCD(s, N/3) = 1$, $s \not\equiv -N/3 \pmod{3}$. Therefore $\delta_1(N) = 3(I_1(N/3, N/3) - J_1(N/3, N/3))$. Since t_N is the number of $(a, c) \in \Sigma$ with $\nu(A) < 0$, the above argument also gives the result for t_N . $\square$

In general, it is not easy to express ℓ_N explicitly. However in the case that N is a prime power, we have the following results.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that N is a power of a prime number.*

(i) *Let $N = 2^m$, where m is an integer greater than 1. Then*

$$t_N = \frac{3 \cdot 2^{2m-3} - 2^{m-1} - (-1)^m}{3}, \quad \ell_N = \frac{2^{3m-4} - (-1)^m}{3}.$$

(ii) *Let $N = 3^m$, where m is a positive integer. If $m = 1$, then $\ell_N = t_N = 1$. If $m \geq 2$, then*

$$t_N = 4 \cdot 3^{2m-3} - 2 \cdot 3^{m-2}, \quad \ell_N = 2 \cdot 3^{3m-4}.$$

(iii) *Let $N = p^m$, where p is a prime number greater than 3 and m is a positive integer. Then*

$$t_N = \frac{p^{2m} - p^{2m-2} - 2p^m + 2p^{m-1}}{6} + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, m:\text{odd}, \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, m:\text{even}, \end{cases}$$

$$\ell_N = \frac{p^{3m} - p^{3m-2}}{36} + \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{9} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p+1}{9} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, m:\text{odd}, \\ -\frac{p+1}{9} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, m:\text{even}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $N = p^m$ be a prime power. Since $\text{GCD}(a, k, N) \neq 1$ if and only if p divides a and b at the same time,

$$\sum_{0 < a < N/3} I(a, N) = \sum_{0 < a < \frac{N}{3}} \sum_{0 < k < \frac{N-3a}{2}} k - p \left(\sum_{0 < a < \frac{N}{3p}} \sum_{0 < k < \frac{(N/p)-3a}{2}} k \right).$$

The assertions can be obtained from this observation by easy but tedious calculation. We omit details. $\square$

Proposition 4.2 implies that $\ell_N = 1$ only for $N = 3, 4$. On the other hand, the genus of $X(N)$ is 0 for $N = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and 5. For $N = 3, 4$, Λ is a generator of $A(N)$ over K_N and j can be expressed in a rational function of Λ , thus, $j = -Q_1(\Lambda)/Q_0(\Lambda)$. Since $\ell_5 = 4$, Λ is not a generator for $N = 5$.

Remark 4.4. $A(1)$ (resp. $A(2), A(5)$) is generated by j (resp. λ, X_2), where X_2 is a product of Klein forms defined in [2].

See Appendix for the sums $I_k(L, M)$ and $J_k(L, M)$ ($k = 0, 1$).

5. VALUES OF Λ

In this section, we summarize the results concerning the values of Λ .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$.*

- (i) *If $\Lambda(\alpha)$ is algebraic, then α is imaginary quadratic or transcendental.*
- (ii) *If α is algebraic but is not imaginary quadratic, then $\Lambda(\alpha)$ is transcendental.*
- (iii) *Let α be imaginary quadratic. Then $(1 - \zeta)^3 \Lambda(\alpha)$ and $(1 - \zeta)^3 / \Lambda(\alpha)$ are algebraic integers.*

- (iv) Let α be an imaginary quadratic and assume that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the maximal order of the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Then $K(\zeta, j(\alpha), \Lambda(\alpha))$ is the ray class field of K modulo N if $N \neq 6$.
- (v) $\Lambda(\alpha)^{-1} = \overline{\Lambda(\alpha/|\alpha|^2)}$. If the absolute value of α is 1, then the absolute value of $\Lambda(\alpha)$ is 1.

Proof. Since $\Lambda(\alpha)$ is algebraic if and only if $j(\alpha)$ is algebraic, the assertions (i) and (ii) are deduced from Schneider's result on the values of j [8]. The assertion (iii) follows from Theorem 2.3 (ii) and the fact that $j(\alpha)$ is an algebraic integer ([1], Theorem 10.23, [9], Theorem 4.14). The assertion (iv) is the result in Theorem 4.5 [4]. Since $\Lambda_{-1} = (1/\Lambda) \circ S$,

$$\overline{\Lambda(\alpha)} = \Lambda_{-1}(-\overline{\alpha}) = (1/\Lambda)(S(-\overline{\alpha})) = (1/\Lambda)(1/\overline{\alpha}) = 1/\Lambda(\alpha/|\alpha|^2).$$

Hence if $|\alpha| = 1$, then $\Lambda(\alpha)\overline{\Lambda(\alpha)} = 1$. This shows (vi). $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *Let α be imaginary quadratic. If N is not a prime power, then $\Lambda(\alpha)$ is a unit. If N is a power of a prime p , then up to a sign the norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\Lambda(\alpha))/\mathbb{Q}}(\Lambda(\alpha))$ is a power of p .*

Proof. This is a direct result of Theorem 5.1 (iii). $\square$

Remark 5.3. *If N is a prime power, then the value of Λ is not necessarily integral at an imaginary quadratic number. For example, for $N = 3$, $\Lambda(\frac{1+\sqrt{-11}}{2})$ has norm 3^{-3} and for $N = 4$, $\Lambda(\sqrt{-2})$ has norm $1/2$. See Example (I), (II) in the last section.*

Proposition 5.4. *Let K be the imaginary quadratic number field of discriminant D_K and H the Hilbert class field of K . Let $N = \prod p_i^{e_i}$ be the prime decomposition of N . Put $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i^{e_i}})$. Assume that $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.*

- (i) *If K is contained in one of K_i , then*

$$[\Re_N : H(\zeta)] = N \prod_i \left(1 - \left(\frac{D_K}{p_i} \right) p_i^{-1} \right).$$

- (ii) *If K is not contained in any K_i , then*

$$[\Re_N : H(\zeta)] = \begin{cases} 2^s N \prod_i \left(1 - \left(\frac{D_K}{p_i} \right) p_i^{-1} \right) & \text{if } K \subset K_N, \\ 2^{s-1} N \prod_i \left(1 - \left(\frac{D_K}{p_i} \right) p_i^{-1} \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here the integer s is defined as follows. Denoting by r the number of odd prime factors of $\text{GCD}(D_K, N)$,

$$s = \begin{cases} r+1 & \text{if } N \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, D_K \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, \\ r+1 & \text{if } N \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, D_K \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let h_K be the class number of K . If $K \subset K_i$, then $H \cap K(\zeta) = K$. Therefore $[H(\zeta) : K] = \varphi(N)h_K/2$. Let $K \not\subset K_i$ for any i . Let L be the

composite field of quadratic extensions $K \left(\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p} \right)$ for all odd prime factors p of $GCD(D_K, N)$. By using elementary algebraic number theory, in the cases that $N \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $D_K \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and that $N \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, $D_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $H \cap K(\zeta) = L(\sqrt{m})$, where m is an integer suitably chosen from $\{-2, -1, 2\}$. In other cases, $H \cap K(\zeta) = L$. Therefore $[H \cap K(\zeta) : K] = 2^s$. This shows that $[H(\zeta) : K] = \varphi(N)h_K/2^{s+1}$ or $\varphi(N)h_K/2^s$ according to $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ or not. Since

$$[\mathfrak{R}_N : K] = \frac{h_K \varphi(N)}{2} N \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \left(\frac{D_K}{p} \right) p^{-1} \right),$$

we have our assertion. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Let α be an imaginary quadratic number and assume that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the maximal order of the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. If $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then the degree of a minimal equation of $\Lambda(\alpha)$ over $K(j(\alpha), \zeta)$ is equal to $[\mathfrak{R}_N : H(\zeta)]$ and it is given in Proposition 5.4.*

6. EXAMPLES IN THE CASES $N = 3$ AND 4

Let $\eta(\tau)$ be the Dedekind eta function. Let $g_N(\tau) = \eta(\tau)/\eta(N\tau)$. By [6], $g_N(\tau)^{\frac{24}{N-1}}$ is a generator of the modular function field of the Hecke group $\Gamma_0(N)$ for $N = 3, 4$. Let $X_0(N)$ be the modular curve associated with $\Gamma_0(N)$. In this section, for $N = 3, 4$, we give some equations among j, λ, g_N and Λ , and compute values of Λ for some imaginary quadratic integers α such that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the maximal order of class number one. These values $\Lambda(\alpha)$ can be obtained from comparing their approximate values with solutions of $F(X, j(\alpha)) = 0$. The values of j for such α are listed in [1] §12 C.

(I) the case $N = 3$. We have $d_3 = 12$ and $\zeta = \rho - 1$.

$$j = -3^4 \sqrt{-3} \left(\frac{(\Lambda + \zeta - 1)(\Lambda + (\zeta - 1)/3)(\Lambda + \zeta + 1)(\Lambda - \zeta - 1)}{\Lambda(\Lambda - 1)(\Lambda + \zeta)} \right)^3.$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(X, 1728) &= (X - i + \zeta)^2 (X + i + \zeta)^2 (X - 1 + i\zeta)^2 (X - 1 - i\zeta)^2 \\ &\quad \times (X - i - i\zeta)^2 (X + i + i\zeta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_3^{12} \in A(3)$, g_3^{12} is a rational function of Λ , thus,

$$g_3^{12} = 81(1 - \zeta) \frac{(\Lambda - 1)(\Lambda + \zeta)}{\Lambda^3}.$$

Since $X(3)$ is totally ramified at the point $\rho/(\rho + 1)$ of $X_0(3)$ of ramification index 3, this gives the following equation;

$$(\Lambda g_3^4)^3 + (3\Lambda)^3 = (3(\Lambda + \zeta - 1))^3.$$

The solutions of the equation $X^3 + Y^3 = Z^3$ are given by using modular functions Λ and g_3 . The values of Λ at $\alpha = i, \rho$ and $\sqrt{-2}$ are;

$$\Lambda(i) = i\rho, \quad \Lambda(\rho) = -\rho, \quad \Lambda(\sqrt{-2}) = (\sqrt{-3} - \sqrt{-2})\rho.$$

We remark that the ray class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ of conductor 3 is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ if $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ and it is a cyclic extension of degree 4 otherwise. The values $v(m)$ of Λ at $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-m})/2$, where $m = 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67$ and 163, are as follows.

The case $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.

$$v(11) = \sqrt{-3}(-1 + 2\sqrt{-11} - 3\sqrt{-3})/18.$$

The case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

$$v(m) = \frac{1 + \Omega - \beta\sqrt{3\sqrt{-3}\Omega}}{2},$$

where

$$(\beta, \Omega) = \begin{cases} (1, (\sqrt{-3} - \sqrt{-7})/2) & \text{if } m = 7, \\ (2, 5\sqrt{-3} - 2\sqrt{-19}) & \text{if } m = 19, \\ (6, 53\sqrt{-3} - 14\sqrt{-43}) & \text{if } m = 43, \\ (14, 293\sqrt{-3} - 62\sqrt{-67}) & \text{if } m = 67, \\ (154, 35573\sqrt{-3} - 4826\sqrt{-163}) & \text{if } m = 163. \end{cases}$$

Those values of Λ are units except $v(11)$. The norm of $v(11)$ is 3^{-3} .
(II) the case $N = 4$. We have $d_4 = 24$.

$$j = -2^6 \frac{((\Lambda^2 - (1 - 2i)\Lambda - i)(\Lambda^2 - (2 - i)\Lambda - i)(\Lambda^2 - \Lambda + 1)(\Lambda^2 + i\Lambda - 1))^3}{(\Lambda(\Lambda + i)(\Lambda - 1)(\Lambda - 1 + i)(\Lambda - \frac{1-i}{2}))^4}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(X, 1728) &= (X^2 - 2(1 - i)X - i)^2(X^2 + i)^2(X^2 - (1 - i)X - \frac{1+i}{2})^2 \\ &\quad \times (X^2 - (1 - i)X + \frac{1-i}{2})^2(X^2 + 2iX - 1 - i)^2(X^2 - 2X + 1 - i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda, g_4^8 \in A(4)$, λ and g_4^8 are rational functions of Λ , thus,

$$\lambda = 2i \left(\frac{\Lambda - (1 - i)/2}{\Lambda(\Lambda - 1 + i)} \right)^2,$$

$$g_4^8 = -2^6(1 - i) \frac{(\Lambda + i)(\Lambda - 1)(\Lambda + (i - 1)/2)}{\Lambda^4}.$$

Since $X(4)$ is totally ramified at the cusp $1/2$ of $X_0(4)$ of ramification index 4, the following equation is deduced;

$$(\Lambda g_4^2)^4 + (2\Lambda)^4 = (2(\Lambda + 1 - i))^4.$$

The values $\Lambda(\alpha)$ at $\alpha = i, \rho, \sqrt{-2}$ and $(1 + \sqrt{-7})/2$ are;

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(i) &= (i - 1)/\sqrt{-2}, \quad \Lambda(\rho) = i(\rho - 1), \\ \Lambda(\sqrt{-2}) &= (1 - i) \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}} \right) / 2, \\ \Lambda((1 + \sqrt{-7})/2) &= (1 - 3i + (1 + i)\sqrt{-7})/2.\end{aligned}$$

For $m = 11, 19, 43, 67$ and 163 , the ray class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ of conductor 4 is an abelian extension of degree 3 over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}, i)$ and the value $\Lambda((1 + \sqrt{-m})/2)$ is a root of the following equation $EQ(m)$ of degree 3.

The case $m \equiv 11 \pmod{16}$.

$EQ(11)$:

$$X^3 - \frac{3 + 2i - \sqrt{-11}}{2}X^2 + \frac{7 + 2i + (2i - 1)\sqrt{-11}}{2}X - \frac{3(1 - i) + (1 + i)\sqrt{-11}}{2} = 0,$$

$EQ(43)$:

$$X^3 - \frac{3 + 58i - 9\sqrt{-43}}{2}X^2 + \frac{119 + 58i + 9(2i - 1)\sqrt{-43}}{2}X - \frac{59(1 - i) + 9(1 + i)\sqrt{-43}}{2} = 0$$

The case $m \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$.

$$EQ(m) : X^3 + \Omega i X^2 + \overline{\Omega} X + i = 0,$$

where

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} \frac{(3 - 8i - 3\sqrt{-19})}{2} & \text{if } m = 19, \\ \frac{(3 - 216i - 27\sqrt{-67})}{2} & \text{if } m = 67, \\ \frac{(3 - 8000i - 627\sqrt{-163})}{2} & \text{if } m = 163. \end{cases}$$

Those values are units except $\Lambda(\sqrt{-2})$ and $\Lambda(\frac{1 + \sqrt{-7}}{2})$. The norm of $\Lambda(\sqrt{-2})$ (resp. $\Lambda(\frac{1 + \sqrt{-7}}{2})$) is $1/2$ (resp. 2^3).

APPENDIX A. SUMS $I_k(L, M)$ AND $J_k(L, M)$

Let M be a positive integer and L be a positive divisor of M . Let $I_k(L, M)$ and $J_k(L, M)$ be the sums defined by (4.1). We shall compute these sums for $k = 0, 1$. For a positive integer n , we denote by n^* the product of all prime divisors of n . Put $S_k(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n i^k$. If $L^* > 2$, then by a routine argument, we have

$$(A.1) \quad I_k(L, M) = \sum_{d|L^*} \mu_o(d) d^k S_k(\lfloor M/2d \rfloor_0),$$

where $\mu_o(x)$ is the Möbius function and d runs over all positive divisors of L^* , and $\lfloor x \rfloor_0$ denotes the greatest integer less than x . It is easy to see that $I_1(L, M) = 0$ for $M = 1, 2$ and that

$$I_1(1, M) = \begin{cases} (M^2 - 1)/8 & \text{if } M \text{ is odd,} \\ M(M - 2)/8 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further if $L^* = 2$, then

$$I_1(L, M) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{M}{4}\right)^2 & \text{if } M \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \left(\frac{M-2}{4}\right)^2 & \text{if } M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

For the remaining cases we have

Proposition A.1. *Let $L^* > 2$. If ℓ is the number of prime divisors of L , then*

$$I_1(L, M) = \varphi(L^*)(M^2/L^* - (-1)^\ell \epsilon)/8,$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2 & \text{if } M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, L^* \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (A.1), we see that

$$I_1(L, M) = \sum_{d|L^*} \mu_o(d) d \left(\frac{\lfloor M/2d \rfloor_0 (\lfloor M/2d \rfloor_0 + 1)}{2} \right).$$

Since the other cases are treated similarly, we give the proof only in the case that $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $L^* \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. By dividing the sum into two partial sums for odd divisors and for even divisors,

$$I_1(L, M) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|L^*/2} \mu_o(d) d (\lfloor M/2d \rfloor_0 (\lfloor M/2d \rfloor_0 + 1) - 2\lfloor M/4d \rfloor_0 (\lfloor M/4d \rfloor_0 + 1)).$$

Since $\lfloor M/2d \rfloor_0 = M/2d$ and $\lfloor M/4d \rfloor_0 = (M/2d - 1)/2$,

$$I_1(L, M) = \sum_{d|L^*/2} \mu_o(d) (M^2/(16d) + M/4 + d/4).$$

Since $L^*/2 > 1$, we have $\sum_{d|L^*/2} \mu_o(d) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|L^*/2} \mu_o(d)/d &= \prod_{p|L^*/2} (1 - 1/p) = 2\varphi(L^*)/L^*, \\ \sum_{d|L^*/2} \mu_o(d)d &= \prod_{p|L^*/2} (1 - p) = -(-1)^\ell \varphi(L^*), \end{aligned}$$

where p runs over all prime divisors of $L^*/2$. Therefore,

$$I_1(L, M) = \varphi(L^*)(M^2/L^* - 2(-1)^\ell)/8.$$

□

By (A.1), we have immediately

Proposition A.2.

$$(A.2) \quad I_0(L, M) = \begin{cases} (M-2)/2 & \text{if } L^* = 1, M \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ (M-1)/2 & \text{if } L^* = 1, M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ (M-2)/4 & \text{if } L^* = 2, M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ M\varphi(L^*)/2L^* & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We remark that $I_0(L, M)$ is the number of positive integers smaller than $M/2$ prime to L .

Proposition A.3. *Let $M \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $L^* > 2$. Then*

$$J_1(L, M) = \varphi(L^*)(M^2/L^* + (-1)^\ell(8 - 9\epsilon))/24,$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2 & \text{if } M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, L^* \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. In (4.1), put $u = 3s'' - N$. Then the condition on u is equivalent to the condition on s'' that $N/3 < s'' < N/2, \text{GCD}(s'', N) = 1$. Therefore

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{aligned} J_1(L, M) &= \sum_{s'} (3s' - M) - \sum_s (3s - M) \\ &= 3I_1(L, M) - MI_0(L, M) - \sum_s (3s - M), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < s' < M/2, \text{GCD}(s', L) = 1$ and $0 < s < M/3, \text{GCD}(s, L) = 1$. For the sum for s ,

$$\sum_s (3s - M) = \sum_{d|L^*} \mu_o(d) \lfloor M/3d \rfloor_0 (3d(\lfloor M/3d \rfloor_0 + 1)/2 - M).$$

Since $\lfloor M/3d \rfloor_0 (3d(\lfloor M/3d \rfloor_0 + 1)/2 - M) = (3M - M^2/d - 2d)/6$ for any d ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s (3s - M) &= \left(\sum_{d|L^*} \mu_o(d) (3M - M^2/d - 2d) \right) / 6 \\ &= -\varphi(L^*)(M^2/L^* + 2(-1)^\ell)/6. \end{aligned}$$

By Propositions A.2, A.1 and (A.3), we have our assertion. $\square$

Remark A.4. *If $L^* = 2$, we have*

$$J_1(L, M) = \begin{cases} (M^2 - 12M + 20)/48 & \text{if } M \equiv 4 \pmod{4}, \\ (M^2 - 16)/48 & \text{if } M \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition A.5. *Let $M \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $L^* > 2$. Let ℓ be the number of prime factors of L^* . Then*

$$J_0(L, M) = \frac{1}{6} \left(M\varphi(L^*)/L^* - \left(\frac{M}{3}\right) 2^\ell \epsilon \right),$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L^* \text{ has no prime factors congruent to } 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $\left(\frac{*}{3}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

Proof. By the same argument in Proposition A.3,

$$J_0(L, M) = I_0(L, M) - \sum_{d|L^*} \mu_o(d) \lfloor M/3d \rfloor_0.$$

Since $\lfloor M/3d \rfloor_0 = (M - d)/3d$ (resp. $(M - 2d)/3d$) if $d \equiv M \pmod{3}$ (resp. $d \equiv -M \pmod{3}$),

$$\sum_{d|L^*} \mu_o(d) \lfloor M/3d \rfloor_0 = \frac{1}{3} \left(M\varphi(L^*)/L^* + \left(\frac{M}{3}\right) \sum_{d'} \mu_o(d') \right),$$

where d' runs over all divisors of L^* congruent to $1 \pmod{3}$. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{d'} \mu_o(d') = 2^{\ell_2-1} \sum_{d''|L_1^*} \mu_o(d''),$$

where L_1^* is the product of all prime factors of L^* congruent to $1 \pmod{3}$ and ℓ_2 be the number of prime factors of L^* congruent to $-1 \pmod{3}$. Therefore by Proposition A.2, we have our assertion. $\square$

It is obvious that $J_1(L, M) = J_0(L, M) = 0$ if $M, L \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.Cox, Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [2] N.Ishida and N.Ishii, *The equation for modular function fields of principal congruence subgroups of prime level*, Manuscripta Math.90 (1996), 271-285.
- [3] N.Ishida and N.Ishii, *Generators and defining equation of the modular function field of the group $\Gamma_1(N)$* , Acta Arith.101.4 (2002), 303-320.
- [4] N.Ishii, *Generalized lambda functions and modular function fields of principal congruence subgroups*, Tokyo.J.Math. 37.1 (2014), 237-246. .
- [5] S.Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1973.
- [6] M.Newman, *Construction and application of a class of modular functions* (II), Proc.London Math.Soc.(3) 9 (1959), 373-387.
- [7] A.Ogg, *Rational points on certain modular elliptic curves*, in: Proc.Sympos.Pure Math.24, Amer.Math.Soc., 1973, 221-231.
- [8] T.Schneider, *Arithmetische Untersuchungen elliptischer Integrale*, Math.Ann. 113 (1937), 1-13.
- [9] G.Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Iwanami-Shoten and Princeton University Press, 1971.

Current address: 8-155 Shinomiya-Koganezuka Yamashina-ku Kyoto, 607-8022 Japan

E-mail address: Noburo.Ishii@ma2.seikyou.ne.jp